

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/672,917	09/28/2000	Dennis R. Raffaelli	INL-00056	8566
7	7590 04/26/2002			
Warn IP Law Office			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 70098 Rochester Hills, MI 48307			BERRY, WILLIE WENDELL JR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan		09/672,917	RAFFAELLI, DENNIS R.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>		Willie Berry, Jr.	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	pril 2002 .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
· -	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· <u> </u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson ⁱ s Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/672,917 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 10-14, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Held.

Held discloses a rotary edging wheel comprising: a hub portion (not numbered but shown in figure 1), a cutting surface (16), a planar side portion (18), a swarf clearing groove (12f), and a circumferential groove (22).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/672,917 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

4. Claims 8, 9, 15, 16, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Held.

Held discloses as discussed above.

Held does not disclose the specific attachment means, size, and hardness of the abrasive

grit.

The specific attachment means, size, and hardness of the abrasive grit would have been

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, since it is within

the general skill of a worker in the art to select size, hardness, and means for attachment on the

basis of their suitability for the user's preference as a matter of obvious design choice.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Willie

Berry whose telephone number is (703) 308-7467.

Willie Berry, Jr.:wbj

Examiner

Art Unit 3723

April 24, 2002